



**1st Half Yearly Monitoring Report
Of
Mid- Day- Meal- Programme.**

National Capital Territory (NCT) Delhi

for the period of

1st October 2011 to 31st March 2012.

Districts covered:

(1) South -West Delhi, (2) South Delhi

**Submitted to:
MHRD, GOI**

**By
Dr.HilalAhmed
Associate Fellow
Centre for the Study of Developing Societies, (CSDS)**

29, Rajpur Road, Delhi 110054

Monitoring Team

DR. Hilal Ahmed	Joint Director (Project)
T.K. Singh.	Project Coordinator.
Narendra	Editing and Data Analysis.
Surendra Mishra	Field Investigator.
Jitendra Kumar	Field Investigator.

Table of Contents

S. No.	Content	Page No.
I.	Table of Contents	3
II.	Acknowledgement	4
III.	General information	5-6
IV	Acronyms	7-8
V	Introduction	9-11
VI.	Methodology	12-13
VII.	District Summary: South West Delhi and South Delhi	14-16
	District Reports:	
VIII	A-South West	17-28
IX	School List	29-30
X	B- South Delhi	31-42
XI	School list	43-44
XII	Key Observations	45
XIII.	Annexure	46-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This report focuses on the progress of implementation of Mid Day Meal programme in Delhi. This programme monitoring covers the period 1st October 2011 to 31st March 2012. The facts depicting the operation of the Mid Day Meal programme have been examined and analysed using data gathered from the Districts i.e. South West Delhi and South Delhi, Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB) and MCD Zones i.e. Najafgarh, South and Central. It has obtained and assessed data gathered from field survey and study. Over the said period the Monitoring Institute has taken into account its interaction with schools Principals/HOS, concerned teachers, observation, information gathered from students and parents of the respective sample schools.

We express our thanks to the state (DOE and MCD, MDM cell) and district/Zone level officials whose cooperation made the successfully completion of the monitoring report.

We are also thankful to shri Gaya Prasad Director, MDM, MHRD, Department of School Education & Literacy, New Delhi, for time to time guidance in monitoring activities.

We express our thanks to the Special Director and DDE, MDM Cell, Directorate of Education (DOE) and Director Education (Primary) and DDE MDM Cell, MCD and DDE officials and school principals/ teachers and community members/parents, whose cooperation helped in successfully completion of the monitoring report.

We express our deep sense of gratitude to our Director Prof. Rajeev Bhargva for his regular inspiration and motivation to complete the work in time.

We hope that the findings of the report will be useful to various people concerned with planning, and implementation of the MDM programme.

Dr. Hilal Ahmad
Joint Director (Project SSA-MDM)

General Information:

Sl. No.	Information	Details
1.	Period of the report	1 st October 2011 to 31 st March 2012
2.	No. of Districts allotted	02 (Two)
3.	Districts' name	(1) South West Delhi, (2) South Delhi
4.	Month of visit to the Districts / Schools (Information is to be given district wise i.e. District 1, District 2, District 3 etc)	1) South West Delhi – (13 December 2011 to 8th February 2012) (2) South Delhi; – (8 th February 2012 to 10 th March 2012)
5.	Total number of elementary schools (primary and upper primary to be counted separately) in the Districts Covered by MI (Information is to be given district wise i. e District 1, District 2, etc)	(1) South West Delhi : Primary School-22 Upper Primary-22 (2) South Delhi:: Primary School-20 Upper Primary-23 School list enclosed annexure -1
6.	Number of elementary schools monitored (primary and upper primary to be counted separately) Information is to be given for district wise i.e District 1, District 2, etc)	(1) South West Delhi: 44 (2) South Delhi: 43
7.	Types of school visited	Primary and Upper Primary
a)	Special training centers (Residential)	00
b)	Special training centers (Non Residential)	10
c)	Schools in Urban Areas	77 and 10 Rural Schools
d)	School sanctioned with Civil Works	09
e)	School from NPEGEL Blocks	Not applicable
f)	Schools having CWSN	55
g)	School covered under CAL programme	42
h)	KGBVs	Not applicable
8.	Number of schools visited by Nodal Officer of the Monitoring Institute	35
9.	Whether the draft report has been submitted to the SPO : YES / NO	yes
10.	After submission of the draft report to the SPO whether the MI has received any comments from the SPO: YES / NO	
11.	Before sending the reports to the GOI whether the MI has shared the report with SPO: YES / NO	

12. Selection Criteria for Schools; As Per TOR

13. Items to be attached with the report:

List of Schools with DISE code visited by MI.: yes

(a) Copy of Office order, notification etc. discussed in the report.

(b) District Summary of the school reports. Yes

(c) Any other relevant documents: Annexure, copy of school suggestions and snaps etc.

ACRONYMS

AIE	Alternative Innovative Education
BRC	Block Resource Centre
BRCC	Block Resource Centre Coordinator
CRC	Cluster Resource Centre
CRCC	Cluster Resource Centre Coordinator
CWSN	Children with Special Need
CSDS	Centre for the Study of Developing Societies
DPEP	District Primary Education Programme
DRG	District Resource Group
DIET	District Institute of Education and Training
DISE	District Information System for Education
DPO	District Project Officer
DURC	District Urban Resource Coordinator
EMIS	Educational Management Information System
ECCE	Early Childhood Care and Education
EGS	Education Guarantee Scheme
GOI	Government of India
HOS	Head of School
ICDS	Integrated Child Development Services
IED	Integrated Education for Disabled
KGBV	Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya
LEP	Learning Enhancement Programme
MLL	Minimum level of Learning
MDG	Millennium Development Goals
MHRD	Ministry of Human Resource Development
MI	Monitoring Institution
MDM	Mid-Day-Meal
MIS	Management Information System
MTA	Mothers Teachers Association
NCERT	National Council of Educational Research and Training
NCTE	National Council of Teacher Education
NPEGEL	National Programme for Girls at Elementary Level
NRBC	Non -Residential Bridge Course
PTA	Parents Teachers Association
PEEP	Project for Enhancement of Elementary Education Programme

RBC	Residential Bridge Course
REPA	Right to Education Protection Authority
RTE	Right to Education
SSA	Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan
SCPCR	State commission for Protection of Child Rights
SCERT	State council of Education Research and Training
SPD	State Project Director
SMC	School Management Committee /
SIS	State Implementation Society
SEC	School Education Committee
SPO	State Project Office
SRC	State Resource Centre
SRG	State Resource Group
TET	Teacher Eligibility Test
TLM	Teaching Learning Material
TLE	Teaching Learning Equipment
TOR	Terms of Reference
TSG	Technical Support Group
TPE	Third Party Evaluation
UEE	Universalization of Elementary Education
URC	Urban Resource Centre
VEC	Village Education Committee
VKS	Vidyalya Kalyan Samiti
WSDP	Whole School Development Plan

1. Introduction

Mid Day Meal Programme aims to supplement the initiative launched under SSA to universalize elementary education. It focuses on enhancing enrollment, retention, attendance and simultaneously improving nutritional levels among children, the National Programme of Nutritional Support to Primary Education (NP-NSPE) was launched as a Centrally Sponsored Scheme on 15th August 1995, initially in 2408 blocks in the country. By the year 1997-98 the NP-NSPE was introduced in all blocks of the country.

According to the programme the Government of India will provide grains free of cost and the States will provide the costs of other ingredients, salaries and infrastructure. Since most State governments were unwilling to commit budgetary resources they just passed on the grains from Government of India to the parents. This system was called provision of 'dry rations'. On November 28, 2001 the Supreme Court of India gave a landmark direction that made it mandatory for the state governments to provide cooked meals instead of 'dry rations'. The direction was to be implemented from June 2002. It was further extended in 2002 to cover not only children in classes I -V of Government, Government aided and local body schools, but also children studying in EGS and AIE centers.

There is also evidence to suggest that apart from enhancing school attendance and child nutrition, mid day meals have an important social value and foster equality. As children learn to sit together and share a common meal, one can expect some erosion of caste prejudices and class inequality. Moreover, cultural traditions and social structures often mean that girls are much more affected by hunger and malnutrition than boys. Thus the mid day meal programme can also reduce the gender gap in education and upbringing.

In October 2007, the scheme has been further revised to cover children in upper primary (classes VI to VIII). It was initially begun in 3479 Educationally Backwards Blocks (EBBs). Since 2008-09, the programme covers all children studying in Government, Local Body and Government-aided primary and upper primary schools and the EGS/AIE centers including Madarsa and Maqtab supported under SSA of all areas across the country.

The successful implementation of any programme is an essential core step for its success, a close and careful monitoring spread over the entire course of its implementation assures its effective and efficient enforcement. In MDM monitoring of programme implementation was assigned a special significance the revised NP-NSPE, 2004 scheme also provided for a 4-tier institutional mechanism for programme management, through the constitution of Steering-cum-Monitoring Committees at the National, State, District and Block levels.

It is in this regard that GOI has sought collaboration with various reputed and well-established research and educational organizations of the country to act as Monitoring Institutions (MI) for MDM implementation.

The Centre for the Study Developing Societies (CSDS), a social science research institute of repute functioning under the aegis of the ICSSR (Indian Council of Social Science Research) was identified to act as one of the Monitoring Institutes for the National Capital Territory of Delhi.

The Centre for the Study of Developing Societies, (CSDS) Rajpur Road, Delhi as per the MOU with MHRD for the period of 1st October 2010 to 30th September 2012, is entrusted with the task of monitoring MDM programmes.

In the monitoring process the CSDS team focused on schools in the two districts: South West Delhi and South Delhi. The report is based on fieldwork, secondary data collected from state MDM Cell, i.e. Directorate of education, Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB) zonal office of MCD comprising interviews Principals/Headmasters, Midday Meal in-charge, students, parents and field observations. As per the new terms of reference the Monitoring institute (MI) shall also ensure that at least 40 schools shall cover from each district. The schools visited comprise three categories: Delhi Government schools, Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB), Municipal Corporation Schools, (MCD), and Government-aided schools. Delhi has the unique position of being a state (with out being a full- fledged state) as well as the capital territory of India. In 1956 it lost the status of State and become a union territory under the State Recognition Commission Act. In November 1993, however, it was again granted the status of a state. Elementary Education in Delhi is managed by different organizations and local bodies including Directorate of Education (DOE), MCD, NDMC, Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB) and privately managed educational societies.

The MCD run primary schools whereas the Directorate of Education, NDMC and Delhi Cantonment Board run schools for primary, upper primary, Secondary and senior secondary levels. There are several schools aided by Directorate of Education and other three local bodies in Delhi.

There is a two tier administrative set up for managing educational services. At the state level, the Department of Education manages education up to the senior secondary level

through the Directorate of Education (DOE) in accordance with the provision of the Delhi School Education Act 1973.

The Administrator (the Lt. Governor) regulates education in all the schools in accordance with the Provisions of this Act. The DOE is meant for providing education up to higher secondary level in Delhi and is empowered to prescribe and supervise the standard of education in all the schools located in NCT of Delhi. The Director of Education as per the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 is the highest officer in charge at the Directorate and is accountable to the Secretary of Education, Delhi.

DOE has 11 administrative Districts to manage DOE schools under its Jurisdiction. MCD is divided in 12 zones to manage Schools under its charge. The DOE districts and MCD zones are not co-terminus. The state of Delhi has a total of 9 Revenue Districts. SSA Implementation is on the basis of revenue Districts. At the sub-state level, there are three municipal bodies (local bodies), namely-

1. Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD)
2. New Delhi Municipal council (NDMC)
3. Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB)

Under this programme, nutritional support is provided to children of Directorate of education (DOE) MCD, NDMC, Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB), aided schools and RBC centre. Directorate of education (DOE) MCD, NDMC and DCB have outsourced the mid day meal programme to NGOs and Trusts. These organizations have installed centralized and semi automated kitchens.

On the basis of the field visits and observation by our monitoring team we assess the implementation of the MDM has been reasonably good.

However certain inadequacies have come into sharp focus during our survey. We would like to draw attention towards them so that they could be rectified and program implementation is fine tuned.

1.1 Methodology

The methodology followed is in accordance with the requirements stipulated for monitoring to cover minimum 40 schools in districts. We covered 44 schools in South West Delhi and 43 schools in South Delhi and 3 MDM supplier's kitchen.

As in our monitoring activity, our survey method sought to ensure that there was adequate representation of boys, girls, co-educational schools and aided schools. We have also taken care to include schools administered under different authorities i.e. Directorate of Education, Municipal Corporation and Aided schools.

All gathered data duly certified by Principals/ HOS of schools and MDM Suppliers Kitchen In-charge.

MI representatives collected information through scrutiny of records, interactions, interviews, photographs and observations, available records at different levels include student's attendance registers, school level community monitoring register and parents. Sample covered: - Details of the numbers of primary and upper primary schools, in South West Delhi and South Delhi districts have been given in the table below. Break-up of Schools/MDM supplier Kitchen visited:

Break-up of Schools visited: Table -1

S.No.	Name of Districts	Type of schools	No. of schools/ kitchen visited
1.	South West Delhi	(1) Delhi Govt. Schools (DOE) (2) MCD Schools (3) Aided Schools (4) Delhi Cantonment Board (4) MDM suppliers Kitchens	18 22 02 02 01
2.	South Delhi	(1) Delhi Govt. Schools (2) MCD Schools (3) Aided Schools (4) MDM suppliers Kitchens	20 20 03 02
	Total	-----	90

Mid Day Meal Snaps. No.1



Cantonment Board Model School, Old Nangal Raya, District South West Delhi.

Mid-Day-Meal (MDM) Executive summary of South-West Delhi and South Delhi districts.

1.REGULARITY IN SERVING MEALS:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• During our monitoring visits it was observed that all sampled primary and upper primary schools serve fresh meals to children from Centralized kitchens of MDM suppliers in south-west Delhi and South Delhi.
2.REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mid-day meal suppliers of Directorate of Education (DOE) reported they received food grains in advance. However, MDM suppliers of MCD reported they received food grains 50days after serving meals in both districts. It was noticed that other stake holders' payments are delay on account of unexpected reasons or delay in official procedures at nodal cell of MDM.
3.REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Suppliers reported that cooking cost is not received in advance. This is corroborated by MI's own data gathering and observation. In both the districts cooking costs are received about 50 days after supplying meals in DOE schools. Delay by DOE schools officials in fulfilling requisite procedure is often the reason. MCD appointed suppliers, however, reported receiving cooking cost each month.
4.QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL :
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• It was observed by MI and reported by majority of children that quality of meals is average. The quantity, too, was found less than prescribed specifications in both districts.
5.SOCIAL EQUITY:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• MI did not observe any cast, gender and community discrimination in seating arrangements in classrooms. Similarly, no discrimination was identified in the serving or eating of MDM in sampled schools. Nearly 90% children were served meals in orderly fashion in south-west and west Delhi.
6.MENU DISPLAY:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Out of 44 sampled schools in south-west Delhi, 11(50%) MCD and 3(17%) DOE and 1 DCB schools displayed MDM weekly menus at convenient and conspicuous places. Similarly, out of 42 schools in South Delhi, 9 (45%) MCD and 3(15%) DOE schools followed such stipulation. Sampled aided schools not followed this procedure either district.• Sampled schools teachers and student reported variety in meals as three rice

based and three wheat based meals served each week.

- The quantity of dal and vegetables was found in accordance with specification during visits by MI.

7. COMMUNITY MOBILIZATION:

- MI found that good awareness levels of Community Members/VKS/PTA/Parents of MDM served in their ward schools. However, out of 87 sampled schools they were not aware of their roles and responsibilities or eligibility and entitlements of children as notified by the state government/local body in south-west Delhi and south Delhi.
- VKS and PTA members reported no training was imparted to them towards supervision and monitoring of MDMs.
- Similarly, they informed the MI that no community meeting has been held by schools specifically for MDMs.
- MI observed participation by urban local bodies as poor in schools serving MDMs.

8.MIS:

- MI found 5% (1) Schools maintained MDM/cook meal register in DOE and 24(57%) MCD schools in south west Delhi and South Delhi. MDM register was not available in aided schools.
- All MCD primary schools were found in MDM cook meal Stock Register in zones i.e. Najafgarh, South and Central).
- The system of fort nightly Meal Card reporting prevails in MCD schools to zone
- On-line Meal card reporting, and documentation, of data is done by DOE schools to Directorate MDM Cell.
- MI observed that teachers/principals have not been trained in maintenance of MDM information, data and register in DOE and aided schools.

9.SCHOOL HEALTH PROGRAM:

- Most of sampled MCD schools informed MI that MCD Health Department personnel (under School Medical Scheme) visit schools for children's periodic health check up.
- Health Card was available in sampled DCB (Delhi Cantonment Board) school in south-west Delhi.
- Directorate of Education's Chacha Nehru Health Scheme 2011 was found covering all children under its aegis.
- De-worming tablets were given to all school children of DOE and MCD schools on 21st February 2012.

MI observed children as not washing their hands before meals. However, they do wash after eating. Schools with double shifts particularly were found defaulting on

this. However, it was ascribed to shortage of time to follow these practices. In some schools shortage of water led to children not washing their plates after meals.

10. STATUS of COOK-CUM-HELPERS:

- MI found cook-cum-helpers engaged as not meeting the requirements under norms of GOI in south-west and west Delhi schools.
- Mid Day Meal provided in all schools through Centralized kitchen.
- Helpers engaged in most schools. This however, not so in all schools across the board. Where helper not engaged children themselves serve meals.
- Helpers receive remuneration of Rs.600/- from Suppliers.
- MI observed all helpers belong to weaker sections. Social composition of helpers found satisfactory. They, however, require training in hygiene and sanitation in discharge of their work.

11. INFRASTRUCTURE:

- Centralized Kitchen set up by suppliers appointed by Education Department.
- It was observed that a Centralized Kitchen covers schools within a range of 25 to 30Km. Given prevalent traffic congestions meals sometimes reach late at schools.

12. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT:

- Meal Cards with details of students under Mid Day Meal available at schools.
- MDM suppliers not found forthcoming or transparent about upkeep of food grains stock.
- Suppliers reported maintenance of accounts of funds received expenditure not available to MI during visit.
- E- Banking found as standard mode of funds transfer.

13. STAFFING:

- No independent staff found at district /zonal level for supervision of school/ suppliers Kitchen for MDM.
- District/zone level task force constituted in DOE/MCD but not found effective to address school level issues in both districts.

14. MONITORING:

- It was notice that monthly/bi monthly meeting MDM in-charge teachers called at zonal levels by MCD.
- Such meetings not called by DOE and aided schools in both districts.

Mid Day Meal Scheme Monitoring report of South West, Delhi

District:

At School Level:

1.REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:			
Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was interruption, what was the extent and reasons for the same?		Students, Teachers & Parents, and MDM register	
All Sampled schools serve freshly cooked meal on all school days. There has been no stated or recorded interruption at above or other levels. MDM served to all students of primary and upper primary school.			
2. TRENDS:			
All the students enrolled in a given school are eligible to avail mid-day meal facility. However, an individual child is entitled to make an option to avail or not avail the facility. In this context, data has been collected about the number of students availing mid-day meal and the actual number of students taking meal during the days of visit.			
S.No.	Details	Primary schools	Upper primary schools
i.	Enrollment	20353	12858
ii.	No. of children opted for Mid Day Meal	NA	NA
iii.	No. of children attending the school on the day of visit	15049 (74%)	9997 (77.7%)
iv.	No. of children availing MDM as per MDM Register	14801 (98.3%)	8585 (85.8%)
v.	No. of children actually availing MDM on the day of MI visit.	13671 (90.8%)	7438 (74.4%)
vi.	No. of children availed MDM on the previous day.	14966 (99.4%)	8709 (87.1%)
This data reveals utilisation trend of MDM provided in schools. It ought to be noticed that it is not 100%. However, from out of those who take MDM regularly the percentage of children availing MDM also reveals variation. On MI's visit day 90.8% of primary school and 74.4% of upper primary children had availed MDM. The previous day's record of MDM utilization reveals 99.4%.of primary and 87.1% of			

upper primary children thereby revealing a difference of 8.9% in primary and 12.9 in upper primary. This difference is quite significant and indicates to prevailing patterns of school level meal card maintained by concerned teachers. Meal card trend reveals that 4(22%) DOE schools prepared Meal card on the basis of meal consumed by children. i.e. (1.GGSS, Goyal khurd; SKV.No.1, Sagarpur, 3. (Govt. co-ed school R.K. Puram Sec.6; and 4.SKV MotiBagh-1).(See meal card in Annexure-5)

3. REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:

- (i) Is school/implementing agency receiving food grain regularly? If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?
- (ii) Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement maintained?
- (iii) Is the quantity of food grain supplied was as per the marked/indicated weight?
- (iv) Is the food grain delivered at the school?

Is the quality of food grain good?

School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries. SHG/ implementing agency.

Food grains are provided to MDM suppliers through Food Corporation of India (FCI). There are guidelines to the FCI with regard to supplying food grains to suppliers.

MDM Suppliers appointed by Directorate of Education (DOE) reported receiving of food grain in advance. Suppliers appointed by MCD received food grains from FCI after 50days of meal serving. It was noticed that MCD (other stake holders) belatedly received food gains cost from the MDM nodal cell. Most suppliers confirm receiving good quality food grains. According to guidelines, FCI is expected to supply Fair Average Quality (FAQ) food grains to all suppliers. If there is any lapse, the Suppliers are entitled to return the food grains of `poor quality.

4.REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL: Is

school/implementing agency receiving cooking cost in advance regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking cost, what is the extent of delay and reasons for it? In case of delay, how school/implementing agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme? Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel?

School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries. SHG/ implementing agency.

Mid-day meal grant is released through either cheque or e-transfer. This has been confirmed by all MDM suppliers. MDM suppliers appointed by MCD reported Cooking cost as received on monthly basis. On the other hand suppliers appointed by DOE received cooking cost 40-50 days after serving meals. Cooking cost bills were prepared school-wise. Generally, concerned officials delay reimbursement of prepared bills. Reimbursements are made after a gap of about 50 days or subject to funds availability at Payment and Accounts office. MDM suppliers reported they maintained regular supply of MDM at schools by taking internal membership loans.

<p>5. SOCIAL EQUITY: i) Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangements? ii) What is the system of serving and seating arrangements for eating?.</p>	<p>Observations / Probe/ interaction with the children.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

In all the 44 schools, there is no social discrimination in serving mid-day meal. Some of the possible factors of discrimination like caste, gender or community have not been influencing MDM at any stage in the process of its implementation. It has been observed that in most of the schools (90%), children partook mid-day meal in orderly as through queues. There are instances where children are given mid-day meal by organizing them into groups (10% of schools) on the basis of functional convenience and availability of separate space.

<p>6.VARIETY OF MENU:</p> <p>i) Has the school displayed its weekly menu at a place noticeable to community, and is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?</p> <p>i) Who decides the menu?</p>	<p>Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks. Obtain a copy of menu.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Guidelines for MDM scheme have menu-related specifications issued by Directorate of Education and MCD. The guidelines specify display of weekly menu at conspicuous places. It was observed 11(50%) MCD and 3(17%) DOE and 1 DCB schools adhere to this guideline. (school wise details see Annexsure-1)

On the other hand, It was observed, 11(50%) schools of MCD, 15(83%) of DOE, 1Delhi Cantonment Board and aided schools did not display weekly menu at conspicuous places)

Menu found to be decided by Mid Day Meal cell in the Directorate of Education and Directorate of MCD in consultation with suppliers.

Nearly 64.5% children did not prefer Halwa owing to substandard quality. Mostly

children preferred salted black channa.	
<p>(i) Is there variety in the food served or is the same type of food served daily?</p> <p>(ii) Dose the daily menu include rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?</p>	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
<p>In all sampled schools suppliers were found adhering to rice-based meals (i.e. rice with Dal, Chhole and Kurhi/Sambhar) thrice a week, while wheat-based meals (2days Puri with Chhole and Puri with Sabzi and one day Halwa with salted black gram) were served on the other three days.</p>	
<p>7.QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL :</p> <p>Feedback from children on :</p> <p>a)Quality of meal:</p> <p>b)Quantity of meal:</p> <p>C) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestions to improve.}</p>	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
<p>Responses from students, head teachers and MI's own observations provide details of quality and quantity of food. The quality of Dal is average and that of vegetable was not satisfactory. 61.5% of children reported quantity and quality of meal as dissatisfactory and insufficient. Nearly 46% of primary school children reported two <i>puris</i> as too less for primary children. It was observed generally two puri are served from class-1 to class 5. Nearly 72% of children do not relish Halwa, salted and black grams are preferred. Halwa ought to be replaced with other wheat based menu. MI's observation is that the quality of puris was not satisfactory; machine-made puris found to be hard; sometimes half cooked or over cooked puri and rice are served. One puri weighs 35-40 grams depending on the dye of puri-making machine.</p> <p>It was also noticed that upper primary school children do not prefer MDMs owing to sub standard quality and taste. Sometimes children carry lunch from home while also availing MDM at school.</p> <p>State Implementing Cell has prescribed guideline for MDM suppliers to provide 200gm wheat-based and 250 gm rice-based cooked meal per child at primary schools and 250 gm wheat-based and 375gm rice-based cooked meal per child for upper primary children. It was found that most of MDM in-charge were not clear how this quantity is to be received or verified with Suppliers in terms of variety of food items</p>	

as rice *puri*, *dal* and *sbazi/chhole*. Children and teachers reported Dal/Sabzi/Karhi quality as substandard.

It was observed that the cooks employed by suppliers change frequently. In turn, this affects the quality of meals. Many a time children have to eat over-cooked or under-cooked meals. Some children and teachers suggested Sambher be included in MDM.

Both quantity and quality needs to be improved as also variation in the menu. MI observation reveals that nearly 30 % DOE upper primary children carry lunch from home and also avail MDM. All children (100%) found as liking to eat *puri*. MDM supervision requires strengthening at school level in both districts.

Most DOE and aided schools not found with weighing machines for proper records maintenance.

8.SUPPLEMENTARY:

- (i) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?
- (ii) What is the frequency of health check-up?
- (iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?
- (iv) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?

Teachers, Students, School Record/
School health card

Data collected from schools has testifies to health check-ups of children conducted in all the 20 MCD and 2 DCB schools. MCD/DCB Health Department personnel undertake periodic visits to check health status of children. These schools have conducted health check-up camps twice every academic year. Directorate of Education (DOE) schools has started Chacha Nehru Health Scheme on 14th November 2011 to cover all school children. De-worming tablets were given to all children on 21st and 27th February 2012. Students at DCB Middle School, Old Nangal Raya, have been provided with health cards.

<p>9.STATUS OF COOKS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Who cooks and serves the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department/VEC/PRI/Self Help Group/ NGO/Contractor) (ii) Is the number of cooks and helpers engaged in the school as per GOI norms? (iii) What is remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of payment? (iv) Is the remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers regularly? (v) Specify the social Composition of cooks cum helpers? (SC/ST/OBC/Minority) 	<p>Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks-cum-helpers.</p>
<p>MI found in its visits that cook-cum-helper was not engaged till 31st March 2012 as required by laid down under norms of GOI. (school wise details see Annexure-2)</p> <p>Mid Day Meals are cooked and served through NGOs and Trusts. MDM supplier has recruited helpers and cooks from economically weaker sections. They are Male/Female who both cook meal and wash utensil etc. in centralized kitchen and serve meals in schools. During its visits MI noticed that most of the helpers received remuneration Rs. 600-per month or Re.1/- per child per school working day. It is meager amount paid by suppliers.</p> <p>In most of sampled schools the number of helpers engaged to serve meal to children in stipulated lunch break was inadequate vis-a-vis number of children. Hence, in most schools children assist the helpers in serving meal.</p>	

<p>10. INFRASTRUCTURE:</p> <p>Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Constructed and in use ii) Scheme under which Kitchen sheds constructed - MDM/SSA/Others iii) Constructed but not in use (Reasons for not using) iv) Under construction v) Sanctioned, but construction not started vi) Not sanctioned vii) Any other (specify) 	<p>School records, discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC, Gram Panchayat members.</p>
<p>Since MDM is provided through suppliers appointed by education departments, there are no kitchen sheds in any schools in Delhi. All suppliers have their own Centralized Kitchens at their premises.</p>	
<p>In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being cooked and where the foodgrains /other ingredients are being stored?</p>	<p>Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC, Gram Panchayat members, Observation</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Not applicable.</p>	
<p>Whether potable water is available for cooking and drinking purpose?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">-do-</p>
<p>All schools have Delhi Jal board connection and bore well for drinking water. Some of the sampled schools have Aquaguard water purifiers. MDM kitchens have installed water purification equipments. Some schools do not have potable drinking water facility in proportion to children enrolled. Most of children/teachers bring drinking water from home.</p>	
<p>Whether utensils are available for cooking food? If available is it adequate?</p>	<p>Teachers/Organizer of MDM Programme</p>
<p>All MDM suppliers have adequate cooking utensils and serving utensils.</p>	
<p>What is the kind of fuel used? (Gas based/firewood etc.)</p>	<p>Observation</p>
<p>Usually the fuel used is LPG.</p>	

<p>11. SAFETY & HYGIENE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. General Impression of the environment, safety and hygiene: ii. Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating iii. Do the children take meals in an orderly manner? iv. Conservation of water? v. Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard? 	<p>Observation</p>
<p>(1) It has been observed the environment, upkeep; safety and hygiene of MDM supplier kitchens and stores are good. On a scale of 10 the rating could be placed at 6. Kitchens and stores are spacious and clean.</p> <p>(ii) It has been observed schools do not encourage children to wash hands before meals. Teachers reported shortage of time and water as the main constraints in this practice. However, MI observed children do wash hands after meals. On account of water shortage some children do not wash their dish after eating. Upper primary boys usually do not bring plates etc from home.</p> <p>(iii) All the schools have been making deliberate efforts to serve meal in an organized way. This has been done to ensure proper serving of food to all, optimum use of water and to ensure cleanliness and hygiene. However this needs to be closely monitored by concerned teacher. Students in most schools collect meal in queues.</p> <p>(iv) Most of the schools store drinking water either in a separate water tank with SBI-installed aquaguard in most MCD schools as Corporate Social Responsibility (SCR). The water needed for cleaning plates in double shifted schools is inadequate. In some cases, there is good quality water available for both the purposes, such as in R.K Puram, Sarojni Nagar and Cantonment Board schools.</p> <p>(V) Since cooking is done outside the school cooking of meal and storage of fuel is not a problem in the MDM process.</p> <p>(Vi) All schools and Centralized kitchen have installed fire fighting equipments.</p>	
<p>12. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS*:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Extent of participation by Parents / VECs / Panchayats / Urban bodies in daily supervision, monitoring, participation. ii) Is any roster being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM? iii) Are the parents/community members aware about the following : 	<p>Discussion with head teacher, teacher, Parents, VEC, Gram Panchayat members Information regarding awareness on point's no. iii, iv, and v should be collected from at least 5 parents/community members (per village/ school).</p>

Participation by parents, local body members and community has been quantified. Participation of SMC/VKS has been found poor.

Data collected from sample schools reveals that there is no roster of parents, with their days and tasks specified. However, depending on their convenience mothers do come now and then to taste meals. Generally presence of parents to supervise mid-day meal may be seen as not promoted by concerned teacher/ Principal teacher.

As per Department norms School-level MDM committees have been constituted not in practice at DOE/MCD schools. It was noticed that usually the school helpers etc tastes meal in lieu of concerned teachers.

(iii) A detailed analysis of the extent of awareness and participation in MDM program by the parents, SMC members and Local Body representatives has been made by examining the responses from the respective groups:

a) Parents: The data collected from parents confirms that most parents (interviewed by the MI team members) have no understanding of MDM program. Similar trend also prevails with regard to arrangements of mid-day meal, awareness and participation. The responses from parents indicate that students are getting mid-day meal. Nearly 74.2 % have average level of awareness and participation.

MI found that DOE and aided schools do not maintain MDM supervision and monitoring registers for comments of MDM community participants and tasting of meals by children’s mothers.

b) VKS/PTA/SMC Members: Nearly 44 (100%) of VKS/PTA/SMC Members have a satisfactory awareness level. The participation in supervision mid-day meal ranges from (95%) poor to satisfactory. With regard to cooked meal quantity, all of them have (100%) poor response.

Table-1: Community Participation and awareness in MDM (Response from VKS/PTA/SMC Members)

S. No.	Particulars	Poor	Satisfaction	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total
1	Awareness	---	44(100%)	---	-----	-----	44
2	Supervision	23(95%)	---	----	----	----	44
3	Cook meal Quantity Prescribed for students	44 (100%)	---	---	---	---	44

13. Source of Awareness

An attempt has also been made to identify the sources of awareness. The most frequent sources have been the teachers (20.46 %), students (34.88%) the school and friends/ relatives/ villagers. There are others like news paper (18.13%); television (16.26%) and radio and VKS/PTA have also been identified as sources of information about mid-day meal scheme. The details about other

sources are indicated in **Table-2:**

Source of Awareness about the MDM Scheme/ School Activities

Sl. No.	Particulars	No. of Respondents*	Percentage (%)
1	Newspaper/ Magazine	39	18.13
2	Radio	5	2.32
3	Television	35	16.26
4	Teacher	44	20.46
5	Students	75	34.88
6	VKS members	15	6.97
7	Mothers/Community members	2	0.93
	Total	215	100

*Each respondent is entitled to indicate more than one source.

2 Numbers of community members received training regarding MDMs and its monitoring.

VKS/PTA/ community members reported no training was imparted to them for supervision and monitoring of MDMs in schools

3 Frequency of SMCs/VKS/PTA meetings held and issues related to MDMS discussed.

Community members reported no school level meeting held for MDMs.

4. Contribution made by the community for MDMs.

Community contribution was not observed with regard to MDMs.

14. Inspection and Supervision

MDM scheme has guidelines to supervise at the State, District, Zone/ Cluster and School levels. DOE Schools have constituted committees at District, Zonal and school level. There are no independent officials appointed or assigned responsibility for supervision and monitoring of MDM in DOE and MCD.

The data reveals school level frequency of visits of Directorate level, District/ Zonal and Cluster Level officials for supervision and monitoring at school level.

The details of visit by different officials (as preschool records) are given in Table-3.

Sl. No.	Particulars	Monthly	Bimonthly	Half yearly	Yearly
1	State Level MDM Officers	-	-	-	-
2	District level MDM officers	-	-	-	-
3	1.DOE/DCB	--	-	-	-
	2.MCD	NA	-	-	-
	Block/Zone Level officers		-	-	-
	1.DOE/DCB	6(30%)	-	-	-
	2.MCD	18(81%)	-	4(19%)	-

15. MIS:-

1. Numbers of schools where MDM register is in place and maintained.
MI found all sample DOE and aided schools MDM register was maintained even majority of MDM in-charge had poor awareness of entitlement and norms prescribed by the Department. DOE schools are sending on line MDM information (Meal Card Repot) to HQ.

It was observed no any records are maintained of quantity of MDMs received from MDM suppliers in DOE and aided schools.It has been found that only rarely school principal and teachers taste meal before serving meal among children. Helpers taste MDM in most schools.

2. Whether any training on maintaining MDM information is imparted to the Teacher/Head Teacher?

MI found no training was imparted to the teachers/principals on maintenance of MDM information and register in DOE/aided schools.

3. What is mechanism to flow of information from school to district and onwards.

MDM in-charge prepares meal cards on the basis of children's attendance which is then sent to zone/district / MDM suppliers. It was noticed during school visit all sampled schools meal card prepared as per attendance but actual meal consumed by children was found mismatching on school visit days.

16. Financial Management:-

1. Nature of financial records and registers maintained at the implementing agency level.

Information not available.

2. Mode of transfer of fund to the implementing agency level from the state or district levels.

3. Type of account maintained and system for the withdrawal of fund SMC/VEC account.

Mid day meal supplier serve meal in school. Maintenance of account not shown during MI Kitchen visit.

4. If the proposals for expenditure and expenditure statement are shared with the community. If yes, is there any instance of community expressing objection/reservation about any transaction.

Not community involvement in this regard. (Mid day meal supplier serves meal in school)

17. Impact

The mid-day meal scheme has been found to have made impact in many ways. At the outset, MDM has been able to improve the enrollment of children to schools. Another significant aspect of the MDM reported by all the school is that the attendance of children to the school has increased significantly.

Table-4: Impact of MDM

Particulars	Teachers		VKS/PTA		Parents	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Improved enrolment	65%	35%	88%	12%	92%	08%
Improved Attendance	75%	25%	85%	15%	65%	35%
Improved health Status	100%	-	100%	-	100%	--

The significant outcome indicated by the head teachers of the sampled schools is that children have been able to maintain relatively improved health conditions through the possible nutritional support of the mid-day meal.

School list of District South West Delhi

S.NO.	Name of schools	School DISE Code	Date of Visit
1	Govt. Coed Secondary School, Nagali Sakravati.	Not Available	13.12.11
2	Sarvodaya coed School, Jafarpur Kalan.	0114005	14.12.11
3	Sarvodaya Co-ed Sr Sec School, Shikarpur.	0113309	15.12.11
4	Sarvodya Co-ed Sr. Sec School, Najafgarh.	0113808	16.12.11
5	Govt. Girls Sr. Sec.School Goyala Khurd.	0113402	19.12.11
6	SBV , Bijwasan, Bharthal.	0114104	20.12.11
7	SKV, Dwarka Sector-1	0112901	22.12.11
8	SKV No-1, Sagar Pur.	0113102	18.01.12
9	SCSR SKV No1 , Palam Enclave.	0114501	19.01.12
10	ASMS, SKV , Mahipal Pur.	0114401	23.01.12
11	SBV Naraina.	0115203	27.01.12
12	Govt. Co-ed Sr, Sec School Sector -5, R. k. Puram.	0116701	28.01.12
13	Govt. Co-ed, Sr. Sec, R. k Puram Sec-6.	0116703	30.01.12
14	Govt. Boys Sr, Secondary School No-3 Sarojani Nagar.	01nd806	01.02.12
15	Govt. Coed Sr. Sec. School, B-IVasant kunj.	0117204	04.02.12
16	SKV Ghitorni	0117402	06.02.12
17	Govt. Boys Sr. Sec. School, Samalka.	0114304	20.01.12
18	SKV Motibagh-1	01ND802	02.02.12
19	CB, Middle School Mehram Nagar.	08DC501	21.12.11
20	CB, Middle School, Old Nagalraya, Delhi Cant.	08DC201	02.12.11
21	Kerala Education Society Sr Sec. School, Sec-8 R.K.Puram. (Aided)	0218704	03.02.12
22	DAV.Sec, School,Sadar Bazaar Delhi Cant. (Aided)	02DC102	03.02.12
23	MC Girls Pry. School, Ghasi pura Nagali Dairy.	Not Available	13.12.11
24	MC Girls Pry. School. Jaffarpur Kala.	0414018	14.12.11
25	MC.Co-ed, Pry. School, Jhatikara.	0413302	15.12.11
26	MC Girls Pry. School. Roshanpura.	0413702	16.12.11
27	MC Girls Pry. School. Chhawala.	0413307	19.12.11
28	MC Co-ed Pry. School, Dhul Sirash.	Not Available	20.12.11
29	MC Co-ed Pry. School. Naseerpur Village.	0413001	22.12.11
30	MC Girls Pry. School. Madhu Vihar.	0414802	23.12.11
31	MC Boys Pry. School, Pochanpur.	201030	23.12.11
32	MC girls Primary School, Vashisth Park Sagarpur.	04112904	18.01.12
33	MC Boys Primary School, Mangla Puri -II	Not Available	19.01.12

34	MC Girls Primary School, NO.1 Kapashera.	0414310	20.01.12
35	MC Coed Primary School , Harijan Basti, Rajokari.	0417202	23.01.12
36	MC Primary School co-ed, Rangpuri Pahari.	Not Available	24.01.12
37	Nigam Pratibha Vidyalaya Co-ed Masoodpur.	0417101	24.01.12
38	MC. Co-ed Primary School, RK.Puram Sec-12	0416703	28.01.12
39	Nigam Pratibha Co-ed School, Munirka Village.	Not Available	30.01.12
40	MC Primary Co-ed School, Mohammadpur.	0416712	02.02.12
41	MC Coed Primary Co-ed School, Kishangarh.(Vasnt Kunj)	0417201	08.02.12
42	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Vasant vihar	0416506	03.02.12
43	MC Boys Primary School, Mehrauli Dargah.	Not Available	04.02.12
44	MC Boys Primary School, Katwariya Sarai.	0416902	08.02.12
45	Ekta shakti MDM suppliers		29.02.12

District South Delhi

Snaps. No.1



Govt. Co-ed Sr. Sec. School, Sector -5, R.K.Puram, District- South West Delhi.,
Snaps. No.2



Sarvodya Bal Vidyalya, Chirag Enclave, District South Delhi,

Mid Day Meal Scheme Monitoring report of District -South Delhi:

<p>At School Level:</p> <p>1.REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:</p> <p>Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was interruption, what was the extent and reasons for the same?</p>	<p>Students, Teachers & Parents, and MDM register</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------

All Sampled schools serve freshly cooked meal on all school days. There has been no stated or recorded interruption at above or other levels. MDM served to all students of primary and upper primary school.

2.TRENDS:

All the students enrolled in a given school are eligible to avail mid-day meal facility. However, an individual child is entitled to make an option to avail or not avail the facility. In this context, data has been collected about the number of students availing mid-day meal and the actual number of students taking meal during the days of visit.

No.	Details	Primary schools	Upper primary schools
1.	Enrollment	19833	19398
2.	No. of children opted for Mid Day Meal	NA	NA
3.	No. of children attending the school on the day of visit	14646 (73.83%)	14169 (73.04%)
4.	No. of children availing MDM as per MDM Register	14325 (97.80%)	13927 (98.29%)
5.	No. of children actually availing MDM on the day of MI visit.	12950 (88.42%)	12342 (87.10%)
6.	No. of children availed MDM on the previous day.	14146 (96.58%)	13932 (98.32%)

This data reveals utilization trend of MDM provided in schools. It ought to be noticed that it is not 100%. However, from out of those who take MDM regularly the percentage of children availing MDM also reveals variation. On MI's visit day 88.42% of primary school and 87.10 % of upper primary children had availed MDM. The previous day's record of MDM utilization reveals 96.58% of primary and 98.32% of upper primary children thereby revealing a difference of 8.16% in primary and 11.22% in upper primary. This difference is quite significant and indicates to prevailing patterns of school level meal card maintained by concerned teachers. Meal card trend reveals that all DOE schools prepared Meal card on the basis of meal consumed by children.

<p>3.REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</p> <p>(v) Is school/implementing agency receiving food grain regularly? If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?</p> <p>(vi) Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement maintained?</p> <p>(vii) Is the quantity of food grain supplied was as per the marked/indicated weight?</p> <p>viii) Is the food grain delivered at the school?</p> <p>Is the quality of food grain good?</p>	<p>School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries. SHG/ implementing agency.</p>
<p>Food grains are provided to MDM suppliers through Food Corporation of India (FCI). There are guidelines to the FCI with regard to supplying food grains to suppliers.</p> <p>MDM Suppliers appointed by Directorate of Education (DOE) reported receiving of food grain in advance. Suppliers appointed by MCD received food grains from FCI after 50days of meal serving. It was noticed that MCD (other stake holders) belatedly received food gains cost from the MDM nodal cell. Most suppliers confirm receiving good quality food grains. According to guidelines, FCI is expected to supply Fair Average Quality (FAQ) food grains to all suppliers. If there is any lapse, the Suppliers are entitled to return the food grains of `poor quality.</p>	
<p>4. REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL: Is school/implementing agency receiving cooking cost in advance regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking cost, what is the extent of delay and reasons for it? In case of delay, how school/implementing agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme?</p> <p>Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel?</p>	<p>School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries. SHG/ implementing agency.</p>
<p>Mid-day meal grant is released through either cheque or e-transfer. This has been confirmed by all MDM suppliers. MDM suppliers appointed by MCD reported Cooking cost as received on monthly basis. On the other hand suppliers appointed by DOE received cooking cost 40-50 days after serving meals. Cooking cost bills were prepared school-wise. Generally, concerned officials delay reimbursement of prepared bills. Reimbursements are made after a gap of about 50 days or subject to funds availability at Payment and Accounts</p>	

office. MDM suppliers reported they maintained regular supply of MDM at schools by taking internal membership loans.

<p>5. SOCIAL EQUITY: i) Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangements? ii) What is the system of serving and seating arrangements for eating?.</p>	<p>Observations / Probe/ interaction with the children.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

In all the 43 schools, there is no social discrimination in serving mid-day meal. Some of the possible factors of discrimination like caste, gender or community have not been influencing MDM at any stage in the process of its implementation. It has been observed that in most of the schools (92%), children partook mid-day meal in orderly as through queues. There are instances where children are given mid-day meal by organizing them into groups (8 % of schools) on the basis of functional convenience and availability of separate space.

<p>6.VARIETY OF MENU: Has the school displayed its weekly menu at a place noticeable to community, and is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?</p> <p>ii) Who decides the menu?</p>	<p>Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks. Obtain a copy of menu.</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Guidelines for MDM scheme have menu-related specifications issued by Directorate of Education and MCD. The guidelines specify display of weekly menu at conspicuous places. It was observed 9 (45%) of sampled MCD and 3(15% DOE schools adhere to this guideline.

On the other hand, it was observed, 13(65%) schools of MCD, 17(85%) of DOE and 1 aided schools did not display weekly menu at conspicuous places. Details see in annexure-3

Menu found to be decided by Mid Day Meal cell in the Directorate of Education and Directorate of MCD in consultation with suppliers.

Nearly 68 % children did not prefer Halwa owing to substandard quality. Mostly children preferred salted black channa.

<p>(iii) Is there variety in the food served or is the same type of food served daily?</p> <p>(iv) Dose the daily menu include rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?</p>	<p>Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In all sampled schools suppliers were found adhering to rice-based meals (i.e. rice with Dal, Chhole and Kurhi/Sambhar) thrice a week, while wheat-based meals (2days Puri with Chhole

and Puri with Sabzi and one day Halwa with salted black gram) were served on the other three days.

7.QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL :

Feedback from children on : a)Quality of meal:b)Quantity of meal:

C) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestions to improve.}

Observations of Investigation during MDM service

Responses from students, head teachers and MI's own observations provide details of quality and quantity of food. The quality of Dal is average and that of vegetable was not satisfactory. 65.5% of children reported quantity and quality of meal as dissatisfactory and insufficient. 42% of primary school children reported two *puris* as too less for primary children. It was observed generally two puri are served from class-1 to class 5. Nearly 71% of children do not relish Halwa, salted and black grams are preferred. Halwa ought to be replaced with other wheat based menu. MI's observation is that the quality of puris was not satisfactory; machine-made puris found to be hard; sometimes half cooked or over cooked puri and rice are served. One puri weighs 35-40 grams depending on the dye of puri-making machine.

It was also noticed that upper primary school children do not prefer MDMs owing to sub standard quality and taste. Sometimes children carry lunch from home while also availing MDM at school.

State Implementing Cell has prescribed guideline for MDM suppliers to provide 200gm wheat-based and 250 gm rice-based cooked meal per child at primary schools and 250 gm wheat-based and 375gm rice-based cooked meal per child for upper primary children.

It was found that most of MDM in-charge was not clear how this quantity is to be received or verified with Suppliers in terms of variety of food items as rice *puri*, *dal* and *sbazi/chhole*. Children and teachers reported Dal/Sabzi/Karhi quality as substandard.

It was observed that the cooks employed by suppliers change frequently. In turn, this affects the quality of meals. Many a time children have to eat over-cooked or under-cooked meals. Some children and teachers suggested Sambher be included in MDM.

Both quantity and quality needs to be improved as also variation in the menu. MI observation reveals that nearly 33 % DOE upper primary children carry lunch from home and also avail

MDM. All children (100%) found as liking to eat *puri*. MDM supervision requires strengthening at school level in both districts.

Most DOE and aided schools not found with weighing machines for proper records maintenance.

8.SUPPLEMENTARY:

- (v) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?
- (vi) What is the frequency of health check-up?
- (vii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?
- viii) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?

Teachers, Students, School Record/ School health card

Data collected from schools has testifies to health check-ups of children conducted in all the 20 MCD. MCD/DCB Health Department personnel undertake periodic visits to check health status of children. These schools have conducted health check-up camps twice every academic year. Directorate of Education (DOE) schools has initiated Chacha Nehru Health Scheme on 14th November 2011 to cover all school children. De-worming tablets were given to all children on 21st and 27th February 2012.

9.STATUS OF COOKS:

- (vi) Who cooks and serves the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department/VEC/PRI/Self Help Group/ NGO/Contractor)
- (vii) Is the number of cooks and helpers engaged in the school as per GOI norms?
- (viii) What is remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of payment?
- (ix) Is the remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers regularly?
- (x) Specify the social Composition of cooks cum helpers? (SC/ST/OBC/Minority)

Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks-cum-helpers.

MI found in its visits that cook-cum-helper was not engaged till 31st March 2012 as required by laid down under norms of GOI. Details see in annexure-4

Mid Day Meals are cooked and served through NGOs and Trusts. MDM supplier has recruited helpers and cooks from economically weaker sections. They are Male/Female who both cook and wash utensil etc. in centralized kitchen and serve meals in schools. During its visits MI noticed that the helpers received a remuneration Rs. 600/-per month or Re.1/- per child per school working day. It is meager amount paid by suppliers.

In most of sampled schools the number of helpers engaged to serve meal to children in stipulated lunch break was inadequate vis-a-vis number of children. Hence, in most schools children assist the helpers in serving meal.

10.INFRASTRUCTURE:

Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store:

- viii) Constructed and in use
- ix) Scheme under which Kitchen sheds constructed - MDM/SSA/Others
- x) Constructed but not in use (Reasons for not using)
- xi) Under construction
- xii) Sanctioned, but construction not started
- xiii) Not sanctioned
- xiv) Any other (specify)

School records, discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC, Gram Panchayat members.

Since MDM is provided through suppliers appointed by education departments, there are no kitchen sheds in any schools in Delhi. All suppliers have their own Centralized Kitchens at their premises.

In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being cooked and where the food grains /other ingredients are being stored?

Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC, Gram Panchayat members, Observation

Not applicable.

Whether potable water is available for cooking and drinking purpose?

-do-

All schools have Delhi Jal board connection and bore well for drinking water. Some of the sampled schools have Aquaguard water purifiers. MDM kitchens have installed water purification equipments. Some schools do not have potable drinking water facility in

proportion to children enrolled. Most of children/teachers bring drinking water from home.	
Whether utensils are available for cooking food? If, available is it adequate?	Teachers/Organizer of MDM Programme
All MDM suppliers have adequate cooking utensils and serving utensils.	
What is the kind of fuel used? (Gas based/firewood etc.)	Observation
Usually the fuel used is LPG.	
11. SAFETY & HYGIENE: vi. General Impression of the environment, safety and hygiene: vii. Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating viii. Do the children take meals in an orderly manner? ix. Conservation of water? x. Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard?	Observation
<p>(1) It has been observed the environment, upkeep; safety and hygiene of MDM supplier kitchens and stores are good. On a scale of 10 the rating could be placed at 6. Kitchens and stores are spacious and clean.</p> <p>(ii) It has been observed schools do not encourage children to wash hands before meals. Teachers reported shortage of time and water as the main constraints in this practice. However, MI observed children do wash hands after meals. On account of water shortage some children do not wash their dish after eating. Upper primary boys usually do not bring plates etc from home.</p> <p>(iii) All the schools have been making deliberate efforts to serve meal in an organized way. This has been done to ensure proper serving of food to all, optimum use of water and to ensure cleanliness and hygiene. However this needs to be closely monitored by concerned teacher. Students in most schools collect meal in queues.</p> <p>(iv) Most of the schools store drinking water either in a separate water tank with SBI-installed aquaguard in most MCD schools as Corporate Social Responsibility (SCR). The water needed for cleaning plates in double shifted schools is inadequate.</p> <p>(V) Since cooking is done outside the school cooking of meal and storage of fuel is not a problem in the MDM process.</p> <p>(Vi) All schools and Centralized kitchen have installed fire fighting equipments.</p>	

12.COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS*:

- iv) Extent of participation by Parents / VECs / Panchayats / Urban bodies in daily supervision, monitoring, participation.
- v) Is any roster being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM?
- vi) Are the parents/community members aware about the following :

Discussion with head teacher, teacher, Parents, VEC, Gram Panchayat members Information regarding awareness on point's no. iii, iv, and v should be collected from at least 5 parents/community members (per village/school).

Participation by parents, local body members and community has been quantified. Participation of SMC/VKS has been found poor.

Data collected from sample schools reveals that there is no roster of parents, with their days and tasks specified. However, depending on their convenience mothers do come now and then to taste meals. Generally presence of parents to supervise mid-day meal may be seen as not promoted by concerned teacher/ Principal teacher. As per Department norms School-level MDM committees have been constituted not in practice at DOE/MCD schools. It was noticed that usually the school helpers etc tastes meal in lieu of concerned teachers.

(iii) A detailed analysis of the extent of awareness and participation in MDM program by the parents, SMC members and Local Body representatives has been made by examining the responses from the respective groups:

a) Parents: The data collected from parents confirms that most parents (interviewed by the MI team members) have no understanding of MDM program. Similar trend also prevails with regard to arrangements of mid-day meal, awareness and participation. The responses from parents indicate that students are getting mid-day meal. Nearly 71 % have average level of awareness and participation.

MI found that DOE and aided schools do not maintain MDM supervision and monitoring registers for comments of MDM community participants and tasting of meals by children's mothers.

b) VKS/PTA/SMC Members: all 100% of VKS/PTA/SMC Members have a satisfactory awareness level. The participation in supervision mid-day meal ranges from (95%) poor. With regard to cooked meal quantity, all of them have (100%) poor response.

Table-1: Community Participation and awareness in MDM (Response from VKS/PTA/SMC Members)

S. No.	Particulars	Poor	Satisfaction	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total
1	Awareness	---	43(100%)	---	-----	-----	43
2	Supervision	22(95%)	---	----	----	----	43
3	Cook meal Quantity Prescribed for students	43 (100%)	---	---	---	---	43

13. Source of Awareness

An attempt has also been made to identify the sources of awareness. The most frequent sources have been the teachers (19.52), students (28.57%) the school and friends/ relatives/ villagers. There are others like news paper (14.76%); television (15.33%) and radio, VKS/PTA members have also been identified as sources of information about mid-day meal scheme. The details about other sources are indicated in **Table-2:**

Source of Awareness about the MDM Scheme/ School Activities

Sl. No.	Particulars	No. of Respondents*	Percentage (%)
1	Newspaper/ Magazine	31	14.76
2	Radio	05	02.38
3	Television	32	15.23
4	Teacher	41	19.52
5	Students	60	28.57
6	VKS members	21	10.00
7	Mothers/Community members	20	9.52
	Total	210	100

*Each respondent is entitled to indicate more than one source.

2 Numbers of community members received training regarding MDMs and its monitoring. VKS/PTA/ community members reported no training was imparted to them for supervision and monitoring of MDMs in schools.

3 Frequency of SMCs/VKS/PTA meetings held and issues related to MDMS discussed. Community members reported no school level meeting held for MDMs.

4. Contribution made by the community for MDMs.

Community contribution was not observed with regard to MDMs.

14. Inspection and Supervision

MDM scheme has guidelines to supervise at the State, District, Zone/ Cluster and School levels. DOE Schools have constituted committees at District, Zonal and school level. There are no independent officials appointed or assigned responsibility for supervision and monitoring of MDM in DOE and MCD.

The data reveals school level frequency of visits of Directorate level, District/ Zonal and Cluster Level officials for supervision and monitoring at school level.

The details of visit by different officials(as per school records) are given in Table-3.

Sl. No.	Particulars	Monthly	Bimonthly	Half yearly	Yearly
1	State Level MDM Officers	-	-	-	-
2	District level MDM officers	-	-	-	-
	1.DOE/DCB	--	-	-	-
	2.MCD	NA	-	-	-
3	Block/Zone Level officers		-	-	-
	1.DOE/DCB	7(35%)	-	-	-
	2.MCD	10(50%)	-	10(50%)	-

15.MIS:-

1. Numbers of schools where MDM register is in place and maintained.

MI found all sample DOE and aided schools MDM register was maintained even majority of MDM in-charge had poor awareness of entitlement and norms prescribed by the Department. DOE schools are sending on line MDM information (Meal Card Report) school to HQ.

It was observed no any records are maintained of quantity of MDMs received from MDM suppliers in DOE and aided schools.

It has been found that only rarely school principal and teachers taste meal before serving meal among children. Helpers taste MDM in most schools.

2. Whether any training on maintaining MDM information is imparted to the Teacher/Head Teacher?

MI found no training was imparted to the teachers/principals on maintenance of MDM information and register in DOE/aided schools.

3. What is mechanism to flow of information from school to district and onwards.

MDM in-charge prepares meal cards on the basis of children's attendance which is then

sent to zone/district / MDM suppliers. It was noticed during school visit all sampled schools meal card prepared as per attendance but actual meal consumed by children was found mismatching on school visit days.

16. Financial Management:-

1. Nature of financial records and registers maintained at the implementing agency level.

Information not available.

2. Mode of transfer of fund to the implementing agency level from the state or district levels.

3. Type of account maintained and system for the withdrawal of fund SMC/VEC account.

Mid day meal supplier serve meal in school. Maintenance of account not shown during MI Kitchen visit.

4. If the proposals for expenditure and expenditure statement are shared with the community. If yes, is there any instance of community expressing objection/reservation about any transaction.

Not community involvement in this regard. (Mid day meal supplier serves meal in school)

17. Impact

The mid-day meal scheme has been found to have made impact in many ways. At the outset, MDM has been able to improve the enrollment of children to schools. Another significant aspect of the MDM reported by all the school is that the attendance of children to the school has increased significantly.

Table-5: Impact of MDM

Particulars	Teachers		VKS/PTA		Parents	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Improved Enrolment	69%	31%	84%	16%	87%	13%
Improved Attendance	71%	29%	88%	12%	73%	27%
Improved health Status	100%	-	100%	-	100%	--

The significant outcome indicated by the head teachers of the sampled schools is that children have been able to maintain relatively improved health conditions through the possible nutritional support of the mid-day meal.

Sampled School list of MI visited in District -South Delhi

S. No.	Name of primary School	School DISE Code	Date of Visit
1	GBSSS, C-Block Sangam Vihar.	0117802	09.02.12
2	GGSSS, No.2 Sec-4 Dr. Ambedkar Nagar.	0118206	09.02.12
3	Govt. Savodaya Co-ed, Vidyalaya, Shahpur Jat.	0119101	10.02.12
4	GBSSS, No.1 kidwai Nagar.	01ND602	10.02.12
5	SKV, G – Block Saket.	0116905	13.02.12
6	GGSSS, Harkesh Nagar.	Not Available	14.02.12
7	Govt. Boys Sr, Secondary School, Deoli.	0112803	15.02.12
8	SKV (Ramanujan) Mehrauli.	0117003	15.02.12
9	Govt. Girls Sr, Secondary School, Chhatarpur.	0117405	16.02.12
10	Govt. SV, Aliganj, Lodhi Colony.	01ND501	17.02.12
11	Govt. Co-ed, Sr. Sec School, Bhatti Mines.	0117601	18.02.12
12	SHK GSBV, Lajpat Nagar.	0115502	23.02.12
13	GBSSS, Railway Colony Tugalkabad.	0119706	22.02.12
14	Govt. Girls Sr, Secondary School, No.3 Badarpur.	0120301	22.02.12
15	SKV Noor Nagar.	0120603	23.02.12
16	Govt. Boys Sec. School, Garhi. (Jharia Maria)	0119301	24.02.12
17	Govt. Boys . Sec School No.2 Khanpur.	0118101	25.02.12
18	KG – SBV Chirag Enclave.	0119202	25.02.12
19	SKV, Madanpur Khadar.	0120702	27.02.12
20	SKV, Molarband.	0120404	27.02.12
21	Dr. Ramesh babu, Andhra education society,(Middle school) Pushpvihar, sec.8	0218401	28.02.12
22	Chandra vidya mandir , Girls Sr. Sec. School, C - Block East of kailash.	0219401	01.03.12
23	Balwant Rai Mehta Bidya Bhawan, Sr. Sec. School, Lajpat Nagar.	0216002	08.02.12
24	MC Co-ed, Pry School, Sultanpur.	0417408	09.02.12
25	MC Girls Pry. School, Dr. Ambed kar Nagar sec-5.	0418204	10.02.12
26	MC Boys, Pry. School, Govindpuri.	Not Available	13.02.12
27	MC Co-ed Pry. School, Gautamnagar.	0416401	14.02.12
28	MC Boys Pry. School, Sanjay Colony, Okhala.	0420001	14.02.12
29	MC Co-ed, Pry. School, . G-Block, Sangam vihar.	0417701	16.02.12
30	Nigam Pratibha Girls,School, DDA slum,Kalkaji.	04149005	17.02.12
31	MC Girls Pry. School, Bhati Mines.	0417602	18.02.12
32	MC Co-ed, Pry. School, Jangpura.	0415702	21.02.12
33	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Teh khand.-I	0419904	21.02.12
34	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, N-Block, Sewanagar .	0415706	21.02.12
35	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Pul Prehladpur-I	0419805	22.02.12
36	MC Boys Pry School (Urdu) Abul Fazal Enclave (Jamia Nagar.)	0420605	23.02.12
37	MC Co-ed, Primary, Amar Colony Lajpat Nagar.	0416004	24.02.12
38	MC Co-ed, Primary School, Shekh Sarai Phase -II	0418901	25.02.12
39	MC Boys Primary School, J.J.Colony, Madanpur Khadar	0420702	27.02.12

40	MC Boys Primary School, No.2 Meethapur. Ext.	0420201	27.02.12
41	MC Girls Primary, School, Jasola Village.	0420803	28.02.12
42	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Jaitpur.	0420104	28.02.12
43	MC Girls Primary School, No.3 Deoli.	0417802	29.02.12
44.	Janchetna jagri avom shaikshanik vikash manch, sangam vihar	MDM Kitchen	29.02.12
45.	Jay Jee humanitarian society, Shaidula jab, Saket	MDM Kitchen	2.03.12

Key observation:

1. Majority of schools MDM Meal cards are prepared with attendance basis in South West west and south Delhi.
2. Community members/VKS/PTA not provided training about MDM norms. Community participation needs to strengthen at school level.
3. Though required by GOI norms Cook-cum-Helper not appointed by state govt. till 31st March 2012.
4. Effective grievance redressal mechanism should be implemented. The existing mechanism is not effective to address issues as less quantity, overcooked or undercooked meals. MDM suppliers do not address grievances pertaining to sub-standard quality and less quantity of meal.
5. District /Zonal and school level monitoring mechanism needs to be strengthened.
6. MDM weekly menu not displayed conspicuously in most Schools with quantity of cooked meal served to children.
7. Weighing machines should be in place in all DOE and aided schools. Maintenance of stock registers and income and expenditure record of MDM suppliers are not transparent.

MDM Annexure-1
District-South West Delhi
School wise status on MDM Menu

Sl. No.	Name of Schools	Weekly menu MDM, displayed at noticeable place	MDM is prepared as weekly menu	Who decides the menu?	Name of meal served	Daily menu include Dal/ Chhole and vegetable
1	Govt. coed Secondary School, Nagali Sakravati.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
2	Sarvodaya coed School, Jafarpur Kalan.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
3	Sarvodaya Co-ed Sr Sec School, Shikarpur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
4	Sarvodya Co-ed Sr. Sec School, Najafgarh.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
5	Govt. Girls Sr. Sec.School Goyala Khurd.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
6	SV, Coed Bijwasan, Bharthal.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
7	SKV, Dwarka Sector-1	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
8	SKV No-1, Sagar Pur.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
9	SCSR SKV No1 Palam Enclave.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
10	ASMS, SKV Co-ed Mahipal Pur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
11	SBV Naraina.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
12	Govt. Co-ed Sr, Sec School Sector -5, R. k. Puram.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
13	Govt. Co-ed, Sr. Sec, R. k Puram Sec-6.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
14	Govt. Boys Sr, Secondary School No-3 Sarojani Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
15	Govt. coed Sr. Sec. School, B-1Vasant kunj.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
16	SKV Ghitorni	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
17	Govt. Boys Sr. Sec. School, Samalka.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
18	SKV Motibagh-1	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate	Yes

					day	
19	CB, Middle School Mehram Nagar.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
20	CB, Middle School, Old Nagalraya, Delhi Cant.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
21	Kerala education society Sr Sec School, Sec-8 R.K.Puram.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
22	DAV.Sec, School,sadar bazaar Delhi Cant.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
22	MC Girls Pry. SchoolGhasi pura Nagali Dairy.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
23	MC Girls Pry. School. Jaffarpur Kala.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
24	MCCo-ed, Pry. School Jhatikara.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
25	MC Girls Pry. School. Roshanpura.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
26	MC Girls Pry. School. Chhawala.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
27	MC Co-ed Pry. School Dhul Sirash.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
28	MC Co-ed Pry. School. Naseerpur Village.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
29	MC Girls Pry. School. Madhu Vihar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
30	MC Boys Pry. School, Pochanpur.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
32	MC girls Primary School,Vashisth Park Sagarpur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
33	MC Boys Primary School, Mangla Puri -II	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
34	MC Girls Primary School, NO.1 Kapashera.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
35	MC Coed Primary School , Harijan Basti, Rajokari.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
36	MC Primary School co-ed, Rangpuri Pahari.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
37	Nigam Pratibha Vidyalaya Co-ed Masoodpur.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
38	MC Co-ed Primary School, RK.Puram Sec-12	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
39	Nigam Pratibha Co-ed School, Munirka village.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
40	MC Primary Co-ed School, Mohammadpur.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
41	MC Coed Primary Co-ed School,	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of	Rice/wheat	Yes

	kishangarh.			MCD	based alternate day	
42	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Vasant vihar	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
43	MC Boys Primary School, Mehrauli Dargah.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
44	MC Boys Primary School, Katwariya Sarai.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes

*** 1) No. of schools weekly menu MDM displayed at noticeable place in DOE Yes=4No =14, DCB-Yes-0, No-2, Aided Yes-0, N0-2and MCD yes-11, No-11**

Annexture-2 Status of School wise helpers

Sl. No.	Name of Schools	No of children enrolled	Whether cook cum helpers appointed as per GOI norms	No of helpers engaged by MDM suppliers	Remuneration paid per month to helpers	Remuneration is paid regularly	Helper belongs to
1	Govt. coed Secondary School, Nagali Sakravati.	792	No	02	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
2	Sarvodaya coed School, Jafarpur Kalan.	692	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
3	Sarvodaya Co-ed Sr Sec School, Shikarpur.	191	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
4	Sarvodya Co-ed Sr. Sec School, Najafgarh.	960	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
5	Govt. Girls Sr. Sec.School Goyala Khurd.	542	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
6	SV, Coed Bijwasan, Bharthal.	1143	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
7	SKV, Dwarka Sector-1	1034	No	04	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
8	SKV No-1, Sagar Pur.	1314	No	04	550/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
9	SCSR SKV No1 Palam Enclave.	1317	No	04	650/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
10	ASMS, SKV Co-ed Mahipal Pur.	1706	No	04	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
11	SBV Naraina.	1099	No	05	750/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
12	Govt. Co-ed Sr, Sec School Sector -5, R. k. Puram.	467	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
13	Govt. Co-ed, Sr. Sec, R. k Puram Sec-6.	1157	No	05	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
14	Govt. Boys Sr, Secondary School No-3 Sarojani Nagar.	327	No	01	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
15	Govt. coed Sr. Sec. School, B-1Vasant kunj.	1252	No	02	400/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
16	SKV Ghitorni	1028	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
17	Govt. Boys Sr. Sec. School, Samalka.	1582	No	04	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
18	SKV Motibagh-1	554	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
19	CB, Middle School Mehram Nagar.	278	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
20	CB, Middle School, Old Nagalraya, Delhi Cant.	390	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
21	Kerala education society Sr Sec School, Sec-8 R.K.Puram.	668	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
22	DAV.Sec, School,sadar bazaar Delhi Cant.	235	No	No helper	----	----	----
23	MC Girls Pry. SchoolGhasi pura Nagali Dairy.	1082	No	03	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
24	MC Girls Pry. School. Jaffarpur Kala.	180	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
25	MCCo-ed, Pry. School Jhatikara.	136	No	No helper	---	--	Economically weaker section
26	MC Girls Pry. School. Roshanpura.	860	No	02	550/per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
27	MC Girls Pry. School. Chhawala.	272	No	01	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
28	MC Co-ed Pry. School Dhul Sirash.	196	No	01	300/Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
29	MC Co-ed Pry. School. Naseerpur Village.	1310	No	02	750/ per helper	Yes	Economically

30	MC Girls Pry. School. Madhu Vihar.	1144	No	03	433/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
31	MC Boys Pry. School, Pochanpur.	438	No	02	300/Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
32	MC girls Primary School,Vashisth Park Sagarpur.	437	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
33	MC Boys Primary School, Mangla Puri -II	416	No	01	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
34	MC Girls Primary School, NO.1 Kapashera.	1621	No	03	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
35	MC Coed Primary School , Harijan Basti, Rajokari.	260	No	01	300/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
36	MC Primary School co-ed, Rangpuri Pahari.	1199	No	01	1000/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
37	Nigam Pratibha Vidyalaya Co-ed Masoodpur.	1183	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
38	MC Co-ed Primary School, RK.Puram Sec-12	495	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
39	Nigam Pratibha Co-ed School, Munirka village.	331	No	01	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
40	MC Primary Co-ed School, Mohammadpur.	270	No	01	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
41	MC Coed Primary Co-ed School, kishangarh.	1623	No	02	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
42	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Vasant vihar	603	No	01	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
43	MC Boys Primary School, Mehrauli Dargah.	590	No	01	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
44	MC Boys Primary School, Katwariya Sarai.	156	No	01	150/ month	Yes	Economically weaker section

* 1) No. of children enrolled in the school =33207

* 3) No. of helpers engaged by MDM suppliers =85

Annesure-3

South Delhi :- School wise status on MDM Menu

Sl. No.	Name of Schools	Weekly menu MDM, displayed at noticeable place	MDM is prepared as weekly menu	Who decides the menu?	Name of meal served	Daily menu include Dal/ Chhole and vegetable
1	GBSSS, C-Block Sangam Vihar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
2	GGSSS, No.2 Sec-4 Dr. Ambedkar Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
3	Govt. Savodaya Co-ed, Vidyalaya, Shahpur Jat.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
4	GBSSS, No.1 kidwai Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
5	SKV, G – Block Saket.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
6	GGSSS, Harkesh Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
7	Govt. Boys Sr, Secondary School, Deoli.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education and NGO	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
8	SKV (Ramanujan) Mehrauli.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
9	Govt. Girls Sr, Secondary School, Chhatarpur.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
10	Govt. SV, Aliganj, Lodhi Colony.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
11	Govt. Co-ed, Sr. Sec School, Bhatti Mines.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
12	SHK GSBV, Lajpat Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
13	GBSSS, Railway Colony Tugalkabad.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
14	Govt. Girls Sr, Secondary School, No.3 Badarpur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
15	SKV Noor Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
16	Govt. Boys Sec. School, Garhi. (Jharia Maria)	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
17	Govt. Boys . Sec School No.2 Khanpur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
18	KG – SBV Chirag Enclave.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
19	SKV, Madanpur Khadar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
20	SKV, Molarband.	No	Yes	MDM cell Department of education	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
21	Dr. Ramesh babu, Andhra education society,(Middle school) Pushpvihar, Sec.8 (Aided)	NA	---	---	---	
22	Chandra vidya mandir , Girls Sr. Sec, School, C - Block East of kailash. (Aided)	NO	MDM not served on the day of MI visit	MDM cell Department of education	NA	Yes
22	Balwant Rai Mehta Bidya Bhawan, Sr. Sec. School, Lajpat Nagar. (Aided)	NA	---	---	---	--
23	MC Pry Co-ed, School, Sultanpur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
24	MC Girls Pry. School, Dr. Ambed kar Nagar sec-5.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
25	MC Boys, Pry. School, Govindpuri.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of	Rice/wheat based	Yes

				MCD	alternate day	
26	MC Co-ed Pry. School, Gautamnagar.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
27	MC Boys Pry. School, Sanjay Colony, Okhala.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
28	MC Co-ed, Pry. School, . G-Block, Sangam vihar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
29	Nigam Pratibha Girls,School, DDA slum,Kalkaji.	NO	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
30	MC Girls Pry. School, Bhati Mines.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
32	MC Co-ed, Pry. School, Jangpura.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
33	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Teh khand.-I	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
34	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, N-Block, Sewanagar .	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
35	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Pul Prehladpur-I	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
36	MC Boys Primary School (Urdu) Abul Fazal Enclave Jamiya Nagar.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
37	MC Co-ed, Primary, Amar Colony Lajpat Nagar.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
38	MC Co-ed, Primary School, Shekh Sarai Phase -II	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
39	MC Boys Primary School, J.J.Colony, Madanpur Khadar	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
40	MC Boys Primary School, No.2 Meethapur. Ext.	Yes	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
41	MC Girls Primary, School, Jasola Village.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
42	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Jaitpur.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes
43	MC Girls Primary School, No.3 Deoli.	No	Yes	MDM cell Directorate of MCD	Rice/wheat based alternate day	Yes

* 1) No. of schools weekly menu MDM displayed at noticeable place in DOE -Yes -03, No 17.

* 2) No. of school DOE, Aided and MCD all MDM is prepared as weekly menu, Yes= 40

Annesure-4

South Delhi: Status of School wise helpers

Sl. No.	Name of Schools	No of children enrolled	Whether cook cum helpers appointed as per GOI norms	No of helpers engaged by MDM suppliers	Remuneration paid per month to helpers	Remuneration is paid regularly	Helper belongs to
1	GBSSS, C-Block Sangam Vihar.	1858	No	07	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
2	GGSSS, No.2 Sec-4 Dr. Ambedkar Nagar.	685	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
3	Govt. Savodaya Co-ed, Vidyalaya, Shahpur Jat.	637	No	01	1500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
4	GBSSS, No.1 kidwai Nagar.	220	No	02	800/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
5	SKV, G – Block Saket.	1083	No	03	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
6	GGSSS, Harkesh Nagar.	1065	No	04	700/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
7	Govt. Boys Sr, Secondary School, Deoli.	1950	No	07	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
8	SKV (Ramanujan) Mehrauli.	1131	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
9	Govt. Girls Sr, Secondary School, Chhatarpur.	1210	No	08	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
10	Govt. SV, Aliganj, Lodhi Colony.	507	No	02	900/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
11	Govt. Co-ed, Sr. Sec School, Bhatti Mines.	727	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
12	SHK GSBV, Lajpat Nagar.	648	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
13	GBSSS, Railway Colony Tugalkabad.	1147	No	04	1000/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
14	Govt. Girls Sr, Secondary School, No.3 Badarpur.	833	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
15	SKV Noor Nagar.	1284	No	03	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
16	Govt. Boys Sec. School, Garhi. (Jharia Maria)	411	No	02	750/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
17	Govt. Boys . Sec School No.2 Khanpur.	865	No	03	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
18	KG – SBV Chirag Enclave.	1005	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
19	SKV, Madanpur Khadar.	2171	No	05	750/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
20	SKV, Molarband.	3132	No	08	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
21	Dr. Ramesh babu, Andhra education society,(Middle school) Pushpvihar, Sec.8 (Aided)	---	---	---	---	---	---
22	Chandra vidya mandir , Girls Sr. Sec, School, C - Block East of kailash. (Aided)	243	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
23	Balwant Rai Mehta Bidya Bhawan, Sr. Sec. School, Lajpat Nagar. (Aided)	---	---	---	---	---	---
24	MC Pry Co-ed, School, Sultanpur.	854	No	02	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
25	MC Girls Pry. School, Dr. Ambed kar Nagar sec-5.	681	No	02	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section

26	MC Boys, Pry. School, Govindpuri.	234	No	02	600er helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
27	MC Co-ed Pry. School, Gautamnagar.	367	No	01	500/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
28	MC Boys Pry. School, Sanjay Colony, Okhala.	679	No	02	600/- Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
29	MC Co-ed, Pry. School, . G-Block, Sangam vihar.	440	No	02	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically
30	Nigam Pratibha Girls,School, DDA slum,Kalkaji.	838	No	04	500 /-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
31	MC Girls Pry. School, Bhati Mines.	735	No	02	700/Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
32	MC Co-ed, Pry. School, Jangpura.	182	No	01	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
33	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Teh khand.-I	1256	No	04	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
34	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, N-Block, Sewanagar .	344	No	02	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
35	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Pul Prehladpur-I	1507	No	05	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
36	MC Boys Primary School (Urdu) Abul Fazal Enclave Jamiya Nagar.	156	No	01	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
37	MC Co-ed, Primary, Amar Colony Lajpat Nagar.	262	No	01	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
38	MC Co-ed, Primary School, Shekh Sarai Phase -II	483	No	02	600/-per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
39	MC Boys Primary School, J.J.Colony, Madanpur Khadar	1141	No	04	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
40	MC Boys Primary School, No.2 Meethapur. Ext.	1638	No	06	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
41	MC Girls Primary, School, Jasola Village.	1329	No	03	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
42	Nigam Pratibha Girls School, Jaitpur.	2733	No	07	600/-Per helper	Yes	Economically weaker section
43	MC Girls Primary School, No.3 Deoli.	415	No	02	600/-Per helper	No	Economically weaker section

* 1) No. of children enrolled in the school=39231

* 2) No. of helpers engaged by MDM suppliers=127